

REMARKS

The last Office Action has been carefully considered.

It is noted that the Examiner required the correction of the drawings.

Also, claim 27 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112.

At the same time the Examiner indicated that the independent claims would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection.

In connection with the Examiner's requirements, applicants have submitted herewith a copy of Figure 1 with the proposed labeling of box 16. It is believed that the Examiner's grounds for the objection to the drawings are eliminated.

Claim 27 has been amended in compliance with the Examiner's requirements and it is believed that the rejection of this claim under 35 U.S.C. 112 should also be considered as not tenable and should be withdrawn.

In view of the Examiner's indication of the allowability of the

claims, it is believed that the present application should be considered as allowable, and such action is earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner require or consider it advisable that the specification, claims and/or drawings be further amended or corrected in formal respects in order to place this case in condition for final allowance, then it is respectfully requested that such amendments or corrections be carried out by Examiner's Amendment, and the case be passed to issue. Any costs involved should be charged to the deposit account of the undersigned (No. 19-4675). Alternatively, should the Examiner feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing this case to allowance, he is invited to telephone the undersigned (at 516-549-4700).

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Striker  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 27233

Fig. 1

